



ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ రాజ పత్రము

# THE ANDHRA PRADESH GAZETTE

PART-I EXTRAORDINARY  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 266 ]

HYDERABAD, THURSDAY, JUNE 10, 2010.

## NOTIFICATIONS BY GOVERNMENT

—x—

### HOME DEPARTMENT

#### (Services-I)

ARTICLE OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI G. AMARNATH, SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (NC),  
CID, HYDERABAD.

*[Memo No.26346/Ser.I/A2/2009-1, Home (Services-I), 31st October, 2009.]*

It is proposed to hold an inquiry against the said Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad, in accordance with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991.

2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour in respect of which the inquiry is proposed to be held and statement of imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour in support of the article of charge is set out in the article of charge enclosed (Annexure-I & II). Copies of documents by which, and a list of witnesses including statement of witnesses if any, by whom, the article of charge is proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure-III & IV).

3. Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad, shall appear before the Principal Secretary to Government, Home Department within (10) days from the date of receipt of this order and submit his written statement of defence and state whether he desires to be heard in person.

4. Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad, is informed that an inquiry will be held only in respect of those article of charge as is not admitted. He should, therefore, specifically admit or deny each article of charge.

5. Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad, is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defence and failed to appear in person before the disciplinary authority within the time stated in para-3 above, further action will be taken exparte, based on the material available on record.

6. Attention of Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad, is invited to Rule 24 of A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964, under which no Government servant shall bring or attempt to bring any

political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further his interests in respect of matters pertaining to his service under the Government. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of any matter dealt with in these proceedings it will be presumed that Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad, is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violation of Rule 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

#### **ANNEXURE - I**

#### **Statement of Article of Charge framed against Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad.**

“On 01-11-2007, he manhandled his official car driver Sri Ch. Sudarshan, PC-502 of PTO, Hyderabad on OD with CID and thereby exhibited gross misconduct and to cover up his misconduct he made a brazen attempt to introduce his wife as a witness that the above PC abused him by Caste to attract provisions of SC/ST Act to implicate the above driver to save his skin when the incident was blown up in vernacular press and thereby exhibited Rule-3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964. Hence the charge”.

#### **ANNEXURE - II**

#### **Statement of imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour in support of the Article of Charge framed against Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad.**

Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC) is working as SP, CID, AD Cell, Hyderabad from 04-12-2006.

Sri A.V. Narayana, IPS was directed to conduct an enquiry into the allegations of manhandling of a driver by Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), AD Cell, CID, Hyderabad. Sri A.V. Narayana, IPS accordingly recorded the statements of 1) Sri Ch. Sudharshan, PC-502; 2) Sri K. Indrasena Goud, HC-2412 of Punjagutta PS, Hyderabad; 3) Sri G. Butchaiah, PC-8662; 4) Sri E. Srinivas, RI, MTO, CID, Hyderabad; 25) Sri G. Amarnath, SP (NC), CID, Hyderabad and obtained statement of Sri Sunil Kumar Bahadur, S/o. Anand Kumar Bahadur, R/o St. Francis College, Methodist Colony, Begumpet, from S.I. of Police, Punjagutta PS on 24-04-2008.

The victim driver PC-502, Sri Ch. Sucharshan attached to Sri G. Amarnath, SP (NC), CID in his statement mentioned that S.P., Sri G. Amarnath came along for evening walk at St. Francis College ground on 01-11-2007. The S.P., became angry due to a conversation regarding consumption of diesel and came upon him and caught hold of his collar and pulled him. The driver left the vehicle due to fear, but he subsequently came back and took the S.P., and drove him to his residence. On the way, he stopped the vehicle in front of the DGP's bungalow to report the matter to DGP. The DGP was not at home and meanwhile S.P., Sri Amarnath also came to the DGP's bungalow. At about 7-00 P.M. driver made a phone call to MTO, CID and apprised him of the developments. On his advice, the driver came to the Control Room of CID at about 8-00 PM and gave a report addressed to the S.P. (Admn.). Before that, he went to the P.S. Punjagutta with a desire to lodge a complaint against the SP. The station writer of Punjagutta PS advised him to report the matter to the senior officers of the CID. Further Sri G. Butchaiah, PC-8862 of CAR Hqrs. phone waiting in the DGP's bungalow stated that PC Sudharshan of PTO came to the bungalow of DGP. As the DGP was not available, the phone waiting PC asked the driver to report the matter to the senior officers of his unit. Sri G. Amarnath, SP also came to the bungalow. The phone waiting PC wished him but the SP did not respond. The SP was in jogging dress. He did not notice anybody else in the vehicle. But S.P., Sri G. Amarnath in his statement mentioned that he went for walk along with his wife. He introduced the element of abusing in his caste name with an intention to attract the provision of SC/ST Act.

In his letter addressed to the Addl. DGP, CID on 02-11-2007, the S.P., Sri G. Amarnath mentioned that somebody had a plan to make the driver misbehave with him as he belong to Schedule Caste. He also mentioned that his wife followed him to the St. Francis College. Smt. G.L. Kumari, W/o. Sri Amarnath in her latter dated 02-11-2007 addressed to the Addl. DGP, CID, mentioned that the driver addressed her husband in the caste name. The driver exhibited disrespect and irresponsibility. She further stated that the driver misbehaved with her and addressed her in singular and could not tolerate the ill treatment given to them because of their community. In his statement Sri G. Amarnath gave to the EO on 01-02-2008 mentioned that College Watchman was an eye witness to the misbehavior and misconduct of the driver. When the E.O., asked him to furnish the name of the watchman, he

informed him orally that his name was Sunil, Sunil Kumar Bahadur who was a student and also now and then used to stay at the college gate mentioned that he did not witness any incident on 01-11-2007 and he was not aware of any incident involving the SP and the driver.

The SP (AD Cell) should have reported the matter to the higher officers and to change the driver as he did in the past instead of manhandling the driver and attempting to beat him. He should have restrained himself. The SP, Sri Amarnath having lost balance introduced his wife as a witness to save his skin and also went to the extent of mentioning caste name in order to implicate the driver in a SC/ST case which is quite unbecoming of an officer of the rank of SP (NC). As the E.O., was convinced that the S.P., went alone to walk and his wife did not follow him, he did not record any statement from her. But, he had a copy of the statement given by her to the Addl. DGP., CID, Hyderabad.

With the above, there is a prima-facie evidence that on 01-11-2007, Sri G. Amarnath manhandled his official car driver Sri Ch. Sudarshan, PC-502 of PTO, Hyderabad on OD with CID and thereby exhibited gross misconduct and to cover up his misconduct he made a brazen attempt to introduce his wife as a witness that the above PC abused him by Caste to attract provisions of SC/ST Act to implicate the above driver to save his skin when the incident was blown up in vernacular press and thereby he exhibited Rule-3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Hence, the charge.

### **ANNEXURE - III**

**List of documents by which the article of charge framed against Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad are proposed to be sustained.**

1. Report dated 01-11-2007 of Sri Ch. Sudarshan, PC-502 (MT Section), CID, Hyderabad.
2. Statement of Sri Ch. Sudarshan, PC-502 (MT Section), CID, Hyderabad.
3. DGP's Memorandum Rc.No.320/L&O-III/2007, dated 14-11-2007 along with copy of report of Sri Ch. Sudarshan, PC-502 (MT Section), CID, Hyderabad, dated 02-11-2007.
4. Memo of Addl. DGP, CID, Hyderabad, dated 02-11-2007 addressed to Sri A.V. Narayana, IPS, IGP, CID (GOW), Hyderabad.
5. Note to Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, dated 02-11-2007 from Addl. DGP, CID, Hyderabad.
6. Statement of Sri G. Amarnath, SP (NC), AD Cell, CID, Hyderabad, dated 02-11-2007.
7. Memo C.No.06/IGP/(GOW)/CID/Camp/07, dated 01-02-2008 of IGP, GOW, CID, Hyderabad to Sri G. Amarnath, SP (NC), AD Cell, CID, Hyderabad.
8. Enquiry Report of IGP, GOW, CID, Hyderabad addressed to Addl. DGP, CID, Hyderabad in C.No.06/IGP/(GOW)/CID/Camp/07, dated 29-04-2008.
9. Statement of Sri Ch. Sudarshan, PC-502, MTO Section, CID, Hyderabad now working in PTO, Hyderabad recorded on dated 13-11-2007 by Sri A.V. Narayana, IPS, IGP, GOW, CID, Hyderabad.
10. Statement of Sri K. Indrasena Goud, HC-2412 of Punjagutta PS, recorded on 14-12-2007 by Sri A.V. Narayana, IPS, IGP, GOW, CID, Hyderabad.
11. Statement of Sri G. Butchaiah, PC-8662 of CAR Hqrs., Hyderabad, recorded on 11-01-2008 by Sri A.V. Narayana, IPS, IGP, GOW, CID, Hyderabad.
12. Statement of Sri E. Srinivas, R.I. (MTO), CID, Hyderabad, recorded on 22-01-2008 by Sri A.V. Narayana, IPS, IGP, GOW, CID, Hyderabad.
13. Statement of Sri G. Amarnath, SP (NC), AD Cell, CID, Hyderabad, recorded on 01-02-2008 by Sri A.V. Narayana, IPS, IGP, GOW, CID, Hyderabad.
14. Report of Sri G. Amarnath, SP (NC), AD Cell, CID, Hyderabad, addressed to Addl. DGP, CID, Hyderabad, dated 27-09-2007.

15. Report of Sri G. Amarnath, SP (NC), AD Cell, CID, Hyderabad, addressed to Addl. DGP, CID, Hyderabad, dated 18-04-2007.
16. Statement of Sri Sunil Kumar, dated 24-04-2008.
17. Statement of Sri Sunil Kumar, Bahdoor, S/o. Anand Kumar Bahdoor, age 21 years, Occ. Student, R/o. H.No. St. Francis Women's College, Methodist Colony, Begumpet, Hyderabad recorded by S.I. of Police, Panljagutta, PS, Hyderabad.

Any other document/documents will be filed on behalf of prosecution during the course of oral enquiry, if found necessary.

#### **ANNEXURE - IV**

**List of witnesses by whom the article of charge framed against Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad are proposed to be sustained.**

1. Sri Indrasena Goud, HC-2412 of Panjagutta PS, Hyderabad.
2. Sri G. Butchaiah, PC-8662, CAR Hqrs., Hyderabad.
3. Sri Sunil Kumar Bahdoor, S/o. Anand Kumar Bahdoor, R/o. St. Francis Womes's College, Methodist Colony, Begumpet, Hyderabad.

Any other witness/witnesses will be examined on behalf of prosecution during the course of oral enquiry, if found necessary.

**P. GAUTAM KUMAR,**  
*Principal Secretary to Government.*

To  
Sri G. Amarnath, Superintendent of Police (NC), CID, Hyderabad,  
through Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad (w.e.)

—X—